

INNOVATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY



Scopus || Electronic journal specializing in Scopus

ISSUE 11



Acceptance of papers **November, 2025**



Acceptance of papers

Published monthly



Topics

economics, technology, social sciences





EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Mirzaliev Sanjar Makhmatjon ugli

DEPUTY EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Makhmudov Nosir Makhmudovich
DSc., Prof., Academician

DEPUTY EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Ochilov Bobur Bakhtiyor ugli – Senior
lecturer at TSUI

THE SCIENTIFIC-POPULAR ELECTRONIC
JOURNAL **"INNOVATION SCIENCE AND
TECHNOLOGY"** HAS BEEN REGISTERED
UNDER THE NUMBER **C-5669633** BY THE
AGENCY FOR INFORMATION AND MASS
COMMUNICATIONS (AOKA) OF THE
REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN, EFFECTIVE
FROM OCTOBER 9, 2024.

CONTACTS

Phone: **+998 50 737 87 88**

Website: <https://ist-journal.uz>

Email: innovationist2025@gmail.com

The scientific electronic journal "Innovation Science and Technology" has been included in the list of scientific publications recommended for the publication of main scientific results of dissertations for the award of PhD and DSc degrees in economics and technical sciences, in accordance with the Resolution No. 370 of the Presidium of the Higher Attestation Commission of the Republic of Uzbekistan, dated May 8, 2025.

Electronic publication, Issue 11. 351 pages.
Approved for publication on November, 2025.

Editorial board:



Sharipov Kongiratbay Avezimbetovich,
Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor



Abdurakhmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna,
Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor



Cham Tat Huei,
Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), Professor (Malaysia)



Muhammad Imran Sadiq
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD),
Professor, Malaysia



Ahmed Aziz Ismail
Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc),
Professor (Egypt)



Lee Chin
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD),
(Malaysia)



Asongu Simplicé
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD),
Cameroon



Rui Dang
Doctor of Chemistry (DSc), Professor, China



Zahoor Ahmed
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD), Turkey



Shujaat Abbas
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD), Russia



Tina A Coffelt
Doctor of Philosophy in Educational Sciences
(PhD), USA

CONTENTS

POVERTY AND DEVELOPMENT	14
Kholmirezayev Abdulhamid Khapizovich	
WAYS TO ACHIEVE ECONOMIC STABILITY THROUGH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES.....	23
Sadriddinov Bakhtiyor	
STRUCTURE-PROPERTY RELATIONSHIP OF ORGANOSILICON MATERIALS: EVALUATION BASED ON THERMOGRAVIMETRIC ANALYSIS	36
Tosheva Dilfuza Farxodovna, Siddikov Ikrom Iminjonovich, Rakhimov Firuz Fazlidinovich	
"CREATING AN ALGORITHM AND SOFTWARE TOOL FOR PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION USING FACIAL SCANNING TO PROTECT THE OPERATING SYSTEM"	43
Usmonov Maxsud Tulqin o'g'li	
ENSURING INTERDISCIPLINARY INTEGRATION BASED ON MOBILE LEARNING TECHNOLOGIES.....	51
Zaripov Olimjan Kuvandiq son	
MONITORING OF THE AYDAR-ARNASAY LAKE SYSTEM AND ASSESSMENT OF THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF COLLECTOR WATER INFLOWS INTO THE LAKE ECOSYSTEM.....	55
Erkabayev Furkat Ilyasovich, Madrimov Rajabboy Masharipovich, Aminov Khamza Khusanovich	
APPROBATION OF THE RESISTANCE OF BRICKS MADE FROM "ANGREN" SECONDARY KAOLIN TO THE EFFECT OF LIQUID METAL.....	62
Umurov Ulug'bek Meylievich	
THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL FOUNDATIONS OF PERFORMANCE-BASED BUDGETING.....	68
Allakuliev Akmal Baltayevich	
IMPROVING ECONOMIC MECHANISMS THROUGH EFFECTIVE USE OF ORGANIZATIONAL AND LEGAL FRAMEWORKS IN TOURISM DEVELOPMENT.....	71
Abdusalomov Djamshid Abdusalomovich	
TEMPERATURE-RADIATION REGIME OF THE TERRITORY OF UZBEKISTAN FOR THE DESIGN OF SOLAR GREENHOUSES	76
Ilkhom Ismatovich Rakhmatov, Shakhzod Niyoz ogli Izomov	
THEORETICAL ASPECTS OF GREEN FINANCING IN FORMING A GREEN ECONOMY	81
Khalikov S. X.	
MUVAFFAQIYATLI STARTAP FAOLIYATIDA ROL O'YNOVCHI MUHIM OMILLAR VA O'ZBEKISTON SHAROITIDA STARTAP EKOTIZMINING RIVOJLANISHI.....	87
Qosimova Dilorom Sobirovna	
EFFECTIVENESS OF INNOVATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS.....	92
Umarova Nilufar Abdulkakhorkizi	
INFLUENCE OF INTERNATIONAL RANKING ORGANIZATIONS ON HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS AND EXISTING PLATFORMS	96
Urozboev Khayrulla Murodboy ugli	
BASE STATION MONITORING TECHNOLOGIES IN MOBILE NETWORKS	103
Ibrokhimkhuja Rikhsikhujayev, Mohit Bhandwal	
FORMATION AND MANAGEMENT OF INVESTMENT PROJECTS OF ENTERPRISES	108
Abdunazarov Saidakhmat Abdumalikovich	
THE IMPORTANCE OF QUALITY MANAGEMENT IN ENTERPRISE ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT.....	113
Rasulov Shavkat Sharof son	
PARTICIPATORY BUDGETING OF THE STATE BUDGET	117
Khamidov Khabibullo Khikmatulla ogli	
TRANSFORMING THE HIGHER EDUCATION SECTOR THROUGH PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP UNDER CONDITIONS OF DIGITALIZATION	123
Abdullayev Javohir Abdumalik og'li	
WAYS TO IMPROVE THE EFFICIENCY OF THE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IN ENTERPRISES.....	131
Begalov Sherzod Maxsutaliyevich	

DIRECTIONS FOR IMPROVING THE RESERVOIR SAFETY ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM USING THE EXAMPLE OF THE TALIMARJON RESERVOIR.....	136
Xodjaqulova Nodira Xosiyatqul qizi	
ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY AND INNOVATIVE TRANSFORMATION PROCESSES OF DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY IMPLEMENTATION IN UZBEKISTAN'S OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY	141
Tarakhtiyeva Gulmira Kulbayevna	
INNOVATIVE APPROACHES TO RISK MANAGEMENT AND ASSESSMENT OF INVESTMENT PROJECTS IN THE DIGITAL ECONOMY.....	145
Muxitdinova Kamola Alisherovna	
INNOVATIVE COOPERATION AND MARKETING STRATEGIES FOR STRENGTHENING THE REGIONAL ECONOMY: THE CASE OF NAMANGAN REGION	149
Sattarov R. A.	
MARKETING PROBLEMS IN THE INTERNATIONAL TEXTILE MARKET AND FOREIGN EXPERIENCES IN SOLVING THEM.....	159
Musayeva Shoirazimovna	
THE PROBLEMS OF LINGUISTIC ANALYSIS OF ELLIPTICAL SENTENCES IN MODERN ENGLISH.....	165
Jurayeva Hilola Kamol qizi, Eshonkulov Ravshan Tokhirovich	
THE EFFECTIVENESS AND PROSPECTS OF INTEGRATING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE INTO URBAN SECURITY DEVELOPMENT	171
Iminov Akbarjon Odiljonovich	
21ST CENTURY CHANGES AND THE GROWING IMPORTANCE OF PROFESSIONAL ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	175
Rakhimova Shirin Utkurovna	
A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF UZBEKISTAN'S INNOVATION EFFICIENCY: EVALUATING GII OUTPUT-INPUT RATIOS RELATIVE TO LEADING AND EMERGING INNOVATIVE ECONOMIES	179
Umidjon Khoshimov	
ANALYSIS OF MODERN FINANCING MODELS FOR OUTSOURCING SERVICES IN PRESCHOOL EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS AND THEIR EFFICIENCY	189
Khamidov Anis Choriyevich	
СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЙ АНАЛИЗ АРХИТЕКТУР ДИАЛОГОВЫХ СИСТЕМ ДЛЯ МЕДИЦИНСКОЙ ПРЕДМЕТНОЙ ОБЛАСТИ	195
Гофуржонов Мухаммадали Расулжон угли, Бурханова Айгуль Ильясовна	
EFFICIENT USE OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES IN UZBEKISTAN'S FORESTRY SECTOR	201
Mamatqulova Muxlisaxon Mamirjanovna	
ESG RISKS AND CORPORATE ACCOUNTABILITY: GLOBAL LESSONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR UZBEKISTAN	206
Zakhidov Azizbek Rustamovich	
PRACTICE OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES IN PROVIDING FINANCING FOR ENTREPRENEURS' INNOVATIVE INITIATIVE.....	211
Jubanova Bayramgul	
SAMARQAND VILOYATIDA IJTIMOYIY XIZMATLAR SOHASINING RIVOJLANISH DARAJASI VA SAMARADORLIK KO'RSATKICHLARI.....	216
Berdiyeva Nafisa Qahramonovna	
TOURISM SERVICES MANAGEMENT AND IMPROVEMENT IN UZBEKISTAN	221
Otaxonova Iroda Xamdami qizi	
TO'G'RIDAN-TO'G'RI XORIJIY INVESTITSİYALARNING O'ZBEKISTONDA IQTISODIY BARQARORLIKNI TA'MINLASHDAGI AHAMIYATI VA UNING DINAMIK TAHLILI	228
Abdurasul A.Sobirov	
O'ZBEKISTON RESPUBLIKASIDA TADBIRKORLIKNI TASHKIL ETISHDA MOLIYAVIY TAVAKKALCHILIKNI BAHOLASH	233
Bayxonov Baxodirjon Tursunbayevich	
ANALYZING N-SHAPED ENERGY VERSUS ENVIRONMENT MODEL: EVIDENCE FROM UZBEKISTAN.....	240
Xalimjonov Nurbek Ulug'bek o'g'li, Toxirov Shodiyor Zafar o'g'li, Jumamuratov Sultanbek Iyasovich	

PROSPECTS FOR DEVELOPING SUSTAINABLE TOURISM IN UZBEKISTAN.....	248
Alieva Makhbuba Toychievna	
EXPANDING THE FINANCIAL CAPABILITIES OF LOW-INCOME FAMILIES THROUGH DIGITAL FINANCIAL SERVICES.....	252
Bauyetdinov M.J., Djumamuratova Xurliman	
ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING THE EFFICIENCY OF PUBLIC PROCUREMENT.....	258
Abdurakhmonova Mahliyo Nurmamatovna	
THE IMPACT OF SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISE FINANCING ON ECONOMIC GROWTH: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM UZBEKISTAN.....	262
Aziza Farmonovna Ergasheva, Rustam Olimjonovich Oltinov	
ANALYSIS OF FACTORS INFLUENCING THE ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY'S SALES NETWORK.....	277
Usmanov Ilkhom Achilovich	
NODAVLAT OLIY TA'LIM MUASSASALARINING TIZIMLI RIVOJLANISHIDA MARKETING FAOLIYATINING SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISH.....	282
Yuldashov Isomiddin Sidiqovich	
LEVERAGING OPEN INNOVATION AND DIGITAL PLATFORMS TO ACCELERATE SUSTAINABLE STARTUP ECOSYSTEM DEVELOPMENT IN EMERGING ECONOMIES.....	288
Azamov Sardor Telman ugli	
PROSPECTS FOR ENSURING BALANCE BETWEEN INDUSTRIAL SECTORS IN THE TERRITORIES OF THE ZARAFSHAN REGION.....	297
Murtazayev Isabek Bazarbayevich	
THE IMPACT OF ECONOMIC GROWTH ON UNEMPLOYMENT IN CENTRAL ASIA.....	306
Kungratov Ilmurod Kuzibay ugli, Jumayev Samariddin Sayfiddin ugli	
EKOTURIZMNING BARQAROR RIVOJLANISHDAGI AHAMIYATI: TABIIY RESURSLARNI MUHOFAZA QILISH VA MAHALLIY HAMJAMIYATLARNI QO'LLAB-QUVVATLASH MASALALARI.....	313
Hamzayeva Dilfuza Samarovna	
THE ESSENCE, IMPORTANCE, AND NECESSITY OF INNOVATION ACTIVITY IN SMALL ENTERPRISES.....	320
Yuldashev Kodirjon Mamadjanovich	
EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT OF THE FINANCIAL STABILITY OF THE ENTERPRISE.....	326
Baymuratova M.M.	
ENHANCING FINANCING MECHANISMS FOR EARLY CHILDHOOD AND SCHOOL EDUCATION FACILITIES: INTERNATIONAL LESSONS FOR UZBEKISTAN.....	331
Murodbek Boltaboev	
THE IMPACT OF DIGITAL PAYMENT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT ON THE BANKING SECTOR: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CENTRAL ASIAN COUNTRIES.....	337
Aziza Farmonovna Ergasheva, Rustam Olimjonovich Oltinov	

THE IMPACT OF DIGITAL PAYMENT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT ON THE BANKING SECTOR: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CENTRAL ASIAN COUNTRIES

Aziza Farmonovna Ergasheva

PhD in Economics, Associate Professor,
Bukhara Innovation University

Rustam Olimjonovich Oltinov

Researcher
E-mail: oltinovrustam@gmail.com

Abstract. This study investigates the impact of digital payment systems development on banking sector performance across five Central Asian countries—Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Turkmenistan—using panel data spanning 2015–2024. The rapid expansion of mobile payment technologies, electronic banking platforms, and fintech innovations has significantly transformed the financial services landscape in the region, raising essential questions regarding the adaptation of traditional banking institutions to digital transformation. Using a comprehensive econometric framework, including fixed and random effects models, difference-in-differences estimation, and dynamic panel analysis through the system GMM estimator, the research examines the relationship between digital payment adoption, bank profitability, credit portfolio dynamics, and financial inclusion. The empirical results indicate that mobile payment volume growth positively affects total banking revenues, demonstrating complementarity rather than substitution with traditional banking services. Difference-in-differences estimation based on the staggered introduction of national digital platforms reveals significant improvements in financial inclusion, with treatment effects ranging from 12% to 18%. Dynamic panel estimations confirm the persistence of digital transformation effects and the existence of cross-country heterogeneity driven by initial conditions and regulatory environments. The Hausman test favors fixed effects, underscoring the importance of controlling for unobserved country-specific characteristics. The findings highlight the transformative potential of digital payment infrastructures in fostering banking sector development and financial deepening in transition economies and stress the need for regulatory frameworks that ensure risk management and equitable access to digital financial services.

Key words: digital payments; mobile banking; fintech; financial inclusion; panel data; difference-in-differences; Central Asia.

Annotatsiya. Ushbu tadqiqotda raqamli to'lov tizimlarining rivojlanishi Markaziy Osiyoning besh mamlakati — Qozog'iston, O'zbekiston, Qirg'iziston, Tojikiston va Turkmaniston bank sektorining samaradorligiga ta'siri 2015–2024-yillar kesimida panel ma'lumotlar asosida tahlil qilindi. Mobil to'lov texnologiyalari, elektron bank xizmatlari va fintech innovatsiyalarining tez kengayishi mintaqa moliya xizmatlari bozorini tubdan o'zgartirmoqda. Shu munosabat bilan an'anaviy bank institutlarining raqamli transformatsiyaga moslashuv mexanizmlarini o'rganish dolzarb ahamiyat kasb etadi. Tadqiqotda fiksirlangan va tasodifiy effektlar modellari, difference-in-differences baholash usuli hamda system-GMM dinamik panel modeli qo'llanilib, raqamli to'lovlar hajmi, bank rentabelligi, kredit portfeli va moliyaviy inklyuziya o'rtasidagi bog'liqlik o'rganildi. Empirik natijalar mobil to'lovlar hajmining o'sishi bank sektorining umumiy daromadlariga ijobiy ta'sir ko'rsatishini, ya'ni raqamli to'lovlar an'anaviy bank xizmatlarini to'ldiruvchi omil ekanini tasdiqladi. National digital platformalarning bosqichma-bosqich joriy etilishi natijasida moliyaviy inklyuziya 12–18 foiz punktga oshgani aniqlandi. Dinamik panel natijalari raqamli transformatsiyaning davomiy ta'sirga ega ekanini va mamlakatlar o'rtasida tartibga solish siyosati hamda boshlang'ich sharoitlarga ko'ra farqlanish mavjudligini ko'rsatdi. Hausman testi fiksirlangan effektlar modelini afzal deb topdi. Tadqiqot xulosalariga ko'ra, raqamli to'lov infratuzilmasi bank sektorini rivojlantirish va moliyaviy chuqurlashuvni ta'minlashda muhim omil bo'lib, samarali tartibga solish va teng imkoniyatlarni kafolatlash muhimdir.

Kalit so'zlar: raqamli to'lovlar; mobil banking; fintech; moliyaviy inklyuziya; panel ma'lumotlar; difference-in-differences; Markaziy Osiyo.

Аннотация. В данном исследовании анализируется влияние развития цифровых платёжных систем на эффективность банковского сектора пяти стран Центральной Азии — Казахстана, Узбекистана, Кыргызстана, Таджикистана и Туркменистана — на основе панельных данных за 2015–2024 гг. Быстрое распространение мобильных платежей, электронного банкинга и финтех-инноваций существенно изменяет финансовую инфраструктуру региона, что актуализирует вопрос адаптации традиционных банков к цифровой трансформации. Применение комплексного эконометрического подхода, включающего модели фиксированных и случайных эффектов, метод difference-in-differences, а также динамический панельный анализ с использованием системного GMM-оценителя, позволило установить взаимосвязь между внедрением цифровых платежей, прибылью банков, динамикой кредитного портфеля и уровнем финансовой инклюзии. Эмпирические результаты подтверждают положительное влияние роста объема мобильных платежей на совокупные доходы банков, что свидетельствует о комплементарности, а не замещении традиционных банковских услуг. Введение национальных цифровых платформ привело к росту финансовой инклюзии на 12–18 процентных пунктов. Динамические оценки выявили устойчивый эффект цифровой трансформации, а также межстрановую неоднородность, зависящую от исходных условий и регуляторной среды. Тест Хаусмана подтвердил преимущество модели фиксированных эффектов. Полученные результаты подчёркивают потенциал цифровой платёжной инфраструктуры для развития банковского сектора и финансовой глубины в странах с переходной экономикой, а также необходимость адекватного регулирования для обеспечения равного доступа к цифровым финансовым услугам.

Ключевые слова: цифровые платежи; мобильный банкинг; финтех; финансовая инклюзия; панельные данные; difference-in-differences; Центральная Азия.

INTRODUCTION

The global financial services industry has undergone a profound transformation over the past decade, driven by the rapid adoption of digital technologies. Electronic payment systems, mobile banking applications, blockchain-based solutions, and diverse fintech innovations have reshaped the ways in which individuals and businesses conduct financial transactions, access credit, manage savings, and interact with financial institutions. This acceleration of digitalization has had a particularly significant impact on emerging and transition economies, where traditional banking infrastructure remains underdeveloped, yet mobile device penetration is expanding rapidly, enabling leapfrogging opportunities that bypass conventional models of financial sector development. Central Asia—comprising Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Turkmenistan—presents a compelling context for exploring the interaction between digital payment systems and banking sector transformations due to the region's diverse economic structures, varying levels of financial infrastructure, and ambitious national digitalization strategies.

The relationship between digital payment innovation and traditional banking continues to stimulate extensive scholarly and policy debate. One view suggests that digital payment platforms may substitute for conventional banking services, potentially disintermediating established financial institutions and eroding their revenue bases. Non-bank payment providers—including telecommunications firms, technology companies, and fintech startups—have gained substantial market share in payment processing, remittances, and basic financial services previously dominated by banks. Such competitive dynamics could pressure banks' profitability, diminish incentives for physical branch expansion, and alter the banking sector's role in financial intermediation. Conversely, digital payment infrastructure may complement traditional banking by expanding financial service markets, reducing transaction costs, increasing operational efficiency, and fostering innovation in product offerings. Banks that effectively integrate digital tools may strengthen their competitive stance and reach previously underserved populations.

Central Asia's financial landscape offers distinctive characteristics that make it particularly suitable for examining these dynamics. First, the region exhibits substantial heterogeneity in digital payment development: Kazakhstan leads in fintech infrastructure and adoption, while Turkmenistan and Tajikistan lag behind significantly. This disparity enables natural cross-country comparisons regarding the effects of digitalization. Second, several countries in the region have implemented national digital payment platforms and regulatory reforms during the study period, generating quasi-experimental conditions suitable for difference-in-differences analysis. Third, the transition-economy context—characterized by evolving institutional frameworks, state participation in banking sectors, and ongoing integration with global markets—creates conditions that differ meaningfully from those observed in advanced economies. Fourth, financial inclusion remains a crucial policy concern across Central Asia, where significant portions of the population are unbanked or underbanked, underscoring the relevance of digital solutions for expanding access to financial services.

This study contributes to the existing literature by providing a comprehensive regional analysis of the impact of digital payment development on banking sector performance in Central Asia. Our contributions are fourfold. First, we compile a novel dataset covering multiple dimensions of digital payment growth, banking

sector outcomes, and financial inclusion indicators across five countries over a decade. These data integrate sources from central bank statistics, international financial databases, telecommunications regulatory bodies, and fintech industry reports to construct a unified representation of digital transformation in the region. Second, we apply a rigorous econometric framework that addresses identification challenges in determining causal effects between digital payments and banking sector outcomes. Using fixed effects, difference-in-differences models based on policy variation, and dynamic panel GMM estimation, we generate robust empirical evidence regarding digitalization effects. Third, we examine heterogeneity in effects across countries, time periods, and outcome measures, recognizing that digitalization impacts depend on institutional environments, initial conditions, and regulatory structures. Fourth, we derive policy implications relevant for Central Asian governments, central banks, and regulators seeking to maximize the benefits of digital transformation while mitigating associated risks.

The study is guided by the following research questions. First, how does digital payment system development affect banking sector profitability in Central Asian countries? Second, what is the impact of mobile payment expansion on banks' credit portfolios and lending behavior? Third, to what extent has digital payment infrastructure contributed to financial inclusion in the region? Fourth, do the effects of digitalization differ across countries based on financial development, regulatory frameworks, and existing digital infrastructure? Fifth, what dynamic patterns, lagged effects, or feedback mechanisms characterize the interaction between digital transformation and banking sector performance?

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews theoretical and empirical literature on digital payments, fintech innovation, and banking sector development in emerging and transition economies. Section 3 outlines the dataset, variable construction, and econometric methodology, including fixed and random effects specifications, difference-in-differences design, and dynamic panel GMM estimation. Section 4 presents empirical results beginning with descriptive statistics and followed by model-based findings. Section 5 interprets the results, provides policy implications, notes limitations, and identifies opportunities for future research. Section 6 concludes with key findings and policy recommendations.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical analysis of digital payment systems and their implications for banking sector performance draws on several disciplines, including financial economics, industrial organization, innovation theory, and development economics. Payment systems represent the foundational infrastructure enabling economic exchange, as they facilitate the transfer of value between market participants. The efficiency, accessibility, and reliability of payment mechanisms directly influence the scale of economic activity: any friction in payment operations imposes additional transaction costs, discourages market participation, distorts price formation, and reduces overall welfare. Consequently, improvements in payment technologies that reduce transaction costs, enhance speed and security, and broaden access generate positive economic externalities for consumers, firms, financial intermediaries, and society at large.

The theory of financial intermediation provides an analytical basis for understanding the role of banks and how digital technologies may reshape their functions. Classical models developed by Diamond, Dybvig and others argue that banks create value by pooling deposits, transforming maturities, monitoring borrowers, and offering liquidity insurance in the presence of information asymmetry and transaction frictions. Digital innovations transform these functions in several ways. Digital wallets may reduce reliance on bank deposits as common stores of value; big data analytics may decrease banks' comparative advantage in credit assessment; platform technologies may match savers and borrowers without traditional intermediation. These developments may alter banks' operational structures and their dominance in financial intermediation.

Innovation economics offers another important perspective by explaining how technological change affects incumbent firms and market dynamics. Christensen's theory of disruptive innovation highlights how technologies initially serving niche markets can eventually displace established business models. In banking, digital payment systems designed for underserved segments may evolve into mainstream financial solutions that compete with or surpass traditional banking services. Whether banks perceive digital innovation as competence-enhancing or competence-destroying determines how they respond, adopt, or resist technological change. Theories on industrial evolution emphasize that the direction and magnitude of innovation effects depend on how new technologies interact with existing competencies, organizational capabilities, and regulatory environments.

Network economics and platform theory are particularly salient for the study of digital payments, which exhibit strong network externalities. The value of a digital payment platform increases as more users and merchants adopt it, generating positive feedback loops that quickly scale adoption once critical mass is achieved. These network effects can reshape market structures, leading to oligopolistic or dominant-platform

outcomes. Multi-sided market theory further suggests that payment platforms must optimize pricing and service incentives across different user groups (e.g., consumers, merchants, financial institutions). In the context of banking, these dynamics influence competitive advantages, alter market power distribution, and reshape the value chain in payment ecosystems.

Empirical Evidence

The empirical literature examining the impact of digital payments on banking sector outcomes has expanded rapidly, though with regional asymmetries. The most extensive evidence comes from Sub-Saharan Africa, particularly Kenya, where M-Pesa and similar platforms have revolutionized financial inclusion. Early studies demonstrated that mobile money adoption improved consumption smoothing, facilitated remittances, increased household resilience, and mobilized savings among unbanked populations. Later research linked mobile money to poverty reduction, women's economic empowerment, and enterprise development. However, these outcomes reflect specific enabling conditions—high mobile adoption, limited banking infrastructure, supportive regulation, and robust agent networks—which may not be directly replicable in other developing regions.

Research in advanced economies has investigated digital disruption through fintech lending, digital banking, and real-time payment systems. Peer-to-peer lending studies show that fintech lenders tend to serve borrowers underserved by traditional banks, thereby improving credit allocation. Studies on digital banking adoption examine how online and mobile banking influence branch closures, operational costs, and customer behavior. Research in Europe and North America on contactless payments, digital wallets, and instant payments documents changes in transaction patterns, cash usage, and payment efficiency. Although these cases provide useful benchmarks, they may not accurately reflect transition economies, where basic financial infrastructures and regulatory frameworks are still evolving.

Cross-country empirical studies reveal broader associations between digital payment development and financial inclusion, financial sector depth, and economic formality. Research using global financial inclusion datasets demonstrates strong correlations between mobile money availability and account ownership, particularly in countries with low banking penetration. Evidence shows that conducive regulatory environments enhance digital payment adoption, while digitalization tends to reduce shadow economy activities and improve tax collection by formalizing financial flows. However, establishing causality remains challenging, as digital payment growth may simultaneously influence and be influenced by economic development, infrastructure quality, and institutional factors.

Academic research specific to Central Asia is limited yet expanding. Studies document Kazakhstan's leading role in fintech penetration, digital banking, and e-commerce growth. Research on Uzbekistan highlights financial liberalization, mobile payment expansion, and digital platform development as key drivers of emerging financial ecosystems. Comparative studies reveal significant disparities in financial infrastructure and digital readiness across the region, which influence regional integration and digital convergence prospects. Notably, there has been a lack of rigorous panel data analysis on digital payment impacts in Central Asia, creating an important gap that this study addresses.

A central debate in the literature concerns whether digital payments complement or substitute traditional banking. Evidence suggests complementarities when digital infrastructure expands financial markets, increases deposits, and drives formalization, as observed in India following demonetization. Yet digital payments may substitute certain banking functions—particularly payment processing and basic savings—when fintech alternatives offer greater convenience. The net effect depends on regulatory conditions, banks' innovation strategies, and the maturity of digital ecosystems.

Research Hypotheses

Based on the theoretical and empirical literature, this study examines the impact of digital payment systems on banking sector performance in Central Asia by testing whether growth in digital payment volume increases banking sector revenues through market expansion and complementarity effects; whether the introduction of national digital payment platforms enhances financial inclusion by reducing barriers to account ownership and access to financial services; whether digital payment development strengthens banks' credit portfolios by improving credit assessment through transaction data and by facilitating loan disbursement and repayment via digital channels; and whether the magnitude and direction of these effects vary across countries due to differences in financial development levels, regulatory frameworks, and digital infrastructure capacity.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Data and Variables (Revised)

This study utilizes a balanced panel dataset of five Central Asian countries—Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Turkmenistan—observed annually over the period 2015–2024, comprising a total

of 50 country-year observations. Although the number of cross-sectional units is restricted due to the regional scope, the ten-year time dimension provides substantial variability to assess dynamic relationships and exploit heterogeneity in policy reforms introduced at different periods across countries. Data were collected from diverse sources, including central bank statistical bulletins, the World Bank's Global Financial Development Database, the International Monetary Fund's Financial Access Survey, the GSMA Mobile Money Dataset, reports of national telecommunications regulatory authorities, and fintech industry publications. Where applicable, data series underwent cross-validation to ensure reliability and consistency.

The key independent variables reflect multiple dimensions of digital payment system development. Mobile payment volume is defined as the total value of mobile payment transactions relative to GDP, capturing the economic scale of digital payment activity. Mobile payment users represents the number of active mobile payment accounts per 100 adults, indicating adoption levels within the population. Electronic payment transactions, measured as the total number of card, online banking, and mobile payment operations per capita, reflects usage intensity. Digital payment infrastructure is a composite index incorporating internet penetration, smartphone ownership, point-of-sale terminal density, and mobile network coverage, capturing the readiness of the digital ecosystem. Employing these complementary indicators enables assessment of whether different facets of digital payment development exert differentiated effects on banking sector outcomes.

The dependent variables capture comprehensive measures of banking sector performance. Bank profitability is assessed through return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE), sourced from central bank supervisory statistics. Net interest margin represents the spread between interest income and expense relative to earning assets, reflecting the core financial intermediation role of commercial banks. Non-interest income, expressed as a share of total revenues, illustrates diversification into fee-based activities such as payment processing that may be influenced by digital competition. Credit portfolio volume, measured as total credit to the private sector relative to GDP, indicates the scale of lending activity, while the non-performing loan (NPL) ratio represents asset quality and credit risk. Financial inclusion indicators consist of the share of adults with bank accounts, the proportion of adults making or receiving digital payments, and a composite Financial Inclusion Index incorporating access, usage, and service quality dimensions.

The empirical framework further includes several control variables that may independently influence banking sector dynamics. GDP per capita accounts for differences in economic development that shape both financial depth and digitalization. GDP growth rate reflects business cycle effects on lending and profitability. Inflation rate captures macroeconomic stability and its implications for real interest rates. Population density proxies for branch network costs and potential gains from digital delivery models. Trade openness, measured as total trade relative to GDP, reflects integration with international markets and exposure to global financial innovations. Institutional quality, proxied by the World Bank's Regulatory Quality indicator, measures the policy environment supporting financial development and technological innovation. Finally, banking sector concentration, measured by the three-bank concentration ratio, controls for market structure effects on competition and profitability.

Fixed and random effects models

The baseline econometric approach employs static panel data models that address unobserved heterogeneity across countries. The fixed effects (FE) model allows for arbitrary correlation between country-specific effects and the explanatory variables, effectively controlling for time-invariant unobserved factors that may affect both digital payment development and banking outcomes. The specification takes the form: $Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta \text{DIGITAL}_{it} + \gamma X_{it} + \mu_i + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{it}$, where Y_{it} represents the banking sector outcome of interest for country i in year t , DIGITAL_{it} is the digital payment measure, X_{it} is the vector of control variables, μ_i captures country fixed effects, λ_t represents year fixed effects, and ε_{it} is the idiosyncratic error term. The country fixed effects absorb all time-invariant differences across countries, including historical factors, geographic characteristics, and persistent institutional features that might confound the relationship between digital payments and banking performance.

The random effects (RE) model assumes that country-specific effects are uncorrelated with the explanatory variables and treats them as random draws from a population distribution. Under this assumption, the random effects estimator is more efficient than fixed effects because it exploits both within-country and between-country variation. The random effects specification models country effects as: $\mu_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2\mu)$, allowing estimation of time-invariant regressors that would be absorbed by fixed effects. The choice between fixed and random effects has important implications for interpretation. Fixed effects estimates identify the effect of changes in digital payment development within countries over time, while random effects estimates incorporate cross-country differences in levels. Given the substantial heterogeneity across Central Asian countries in their digital payment development trajectories, the choice of specification can materially affect conclusions.

To determine the appropriate specification, we conduct the Hausman test, which examines whether the country-specific effects are correlated with the regressors. Under the null hypothesis that random effects is consistent and efficient, the fixed effects and random effects estimators should yield similar coefficients. A significant Hausman test statistic indicates that the random effects assumption is violated and fixed effects

should be preferred. We report results from both specifications and base our primary conclusions on the fixed effects estimates given the likely correlation between unobserved country characteristics and digital payment development. However, we also present random effects results to assess robustness and to examine variables that lack sufficient within-country variation for fixed effects identification.

Difference-in-differences design

To strengthen causal identification, we implement a difference-in-differences (DID) strategy exploiting the staggered introduction of national digital payment platforms across Central Asian countries. During the study period, several countries launched major digital payment initiatives at different times: Kazakhstan's Kaspi Pay expanded significantly in 2017, Uzbekistan introduced the HUMO and UzCard interoperable systems in 2019, Kyrgyzstan launched its national payment system infrastructure upgrades in 2020, and Tajikistan implemented mobile payment regulatory reforms in 2021. Turkmenistan, with limited digital payment development throughout the period, serves as a comparison case. This variation in treatment timing allows us to implement a staggered DID design that compares changes in banking outcomes before and after platform introduction across treatment and comparison groups.

The DID specification takes the form: $Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta \text{TREATMENT}_{it} + \gamma X_{it} + \mu_i + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{it}$, where TREATMENT_{it} is an indicator variable equal to one for country i in years t following the introduction of its national digital payment platform and zero otherwise. The coefficient β captures the average treatment effect on the treated (ATT), representing the change in banking outcomes attributable to platform introduction relative to the counterfactual trajectory absent treatment. The inclusion of country and year fixed effects ensures that the treatment effect is identified from the differential change in outcomes between treated and untreated country-years, controlling for time-invariant country characteristics and common temporal shocks.

The validity of the DID design rests on the parallel trends assumption, which requires that treated and untreated countries would have followed similar outcome trajectories in the absence of treatment. We assess this assumption through several approaches. First, we conduct visual inspection of outcome trends in the pre-treatment period, examining whether treated and comparison countries exhibited similar patterns before platform introduction. Second, we implement an event study specification that allows for separate effects in each period relative to treatment, testing whether pre-treatment coefficients are statistically indistinguishable from zero. The event study specification is: $Y_{it} = \alpha + \sum_k \beta_k 1(t - T_i = k) + \gamma X_{it} + \mu_i + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{it}$, where T_i is the treatment year for country i and k indexes years relative to treatment. Third, we conduct placebo tests using pseudo-treatment dates to verify that the estimated effects are not artifacts of differential trends.

Dynamic panel GMM estimation

The static panel models may yield biased estimates if banking sector outcomes exhibit persistence over time or if digital payment development is endogenous. To address these concerns, we estimate dynamic panel models using the system Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) estimator. The dynamic specification includes lagged dependent variable as a regressor: $Y_{it} = \alpha + \rho Y_{it-1} + \beta \text{DIGITAL}_{it} + \gamma X_{it} + \mu_i + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{it}$, where ρ captures the persistence of banking outcomes and the coefficient β now represents the contemporaneous effect of digital payment development controlling for prior outcome levels. The inclusion of lagged dependent variable is theoretically motivated by the gradual adjustment of banking sector metrics and the persistence of factors such as customer relationships, reputation, and operational capabilities that affect performance.

The system GMM estimator developed by Blundell and Bond addresses the econometric challenges posed by the dynamic panel specification. In the presence of fixed effects, the lagged dependent variable is correlated with the error term, rendering ordinary least squares and even fixed effects inconsistent. The GMM approach instruments the endogenous variables using their own lagged values, exploiting the assumption that past levels and differences are valid instruments for current endogenous regressors. The system GMM combines moment conditions from the differenced equation, where lagged levels serve as instruments, with moment conditions from the level equation, where lagged differences serve as instruments. This approach improves efficiency relative to the original difference GMM estimator, particularly when the dependent variable is highly persistent or when the variance of the fixed effects is large relative to the idiosyncratic error variance.

We treat digital payment measures as potentially endogenous given the possibility of reverse causation whereby stronger banking sector performance enables greater investment in digital infrastructure or attracts fintech competition. The GMM estimation instruments digital payment variables along with the lagged dependent variable, using deeper lags as instruments under the assumption that these are correlated with current values but uncorrelated with current shocks. We conduct specification tests to validate the GMM estimates. The Arellano-Bond test examines serial correlation in the differenced residuals, with rejection of second-order autocorrelation indicating potential instrument invalidity. The Hansen test of overidentifying restrictions evaluates the joint validity of the instrument set. We also monitor the number of instruments to avoid instrument proliferation, which can result in overfitting and weakened specification tests.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics (Revised)

Table 1 reports the summary statistics for the principal variables used in the empirical analysis. The descriptive results indicate substantial variation both across countries and over time, providing sufficient heterogeneity for econometric identification. Mobile payment volume, measured as a percentage of GDP, averages 8.45 percent across the panel but ranges from near zero in the initial years for less digitally developed countries to above 35 percent in Kazakhstan toward the end of the study period. This wide dispersion reflects not only the rapid temporal growth of digital payments in the region but also considerable cross-country differences in adoption rates.

Similarly, mobile payment users per 100 adults average 34.67, with a standard deviation of 24.32, indicating large disparities in population adoption across countries. Electronic payment transactions per capita average 78.34, again demonstrating high variance and reflecting substantial differences in usage intensity across national markets and over time.

Banking sector indicators also show noticeable variation. Return on assets (ROA) averages 1.67 percent, with a standard deviation of 0.89 percentage points, fluctuating from negative values during periods of financial stress to above 3 percent in more profitable years. Return on equity (ROE) averages 12.45 percent, consistent with the leveraged nature of banking and its higher return profile relative to asset-based metrics. Net interest margin (NIM) averages 4.23 percent, with variation attributable to differences in competitive conditions, monetary policy frameworks, and structural characteristics of national banking systems.

Furthermore, the credit-to-GDP ratio averages 28.34 percent, which remains below levels typical of more financially developed economies but shows a gradual upward trajectory over the observation period. Financial inclusion, measured by the share of adults holding bank accounts, averages 42.56 percent, ranging from below 20 percent in less developed financial systems to over 70 percent in Kazakhstan, illustrating significant disparities in access to formal financial services across the region (Table 1).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	Obs.
Mobile Payment/GDP (%)	8.45	7.82	0.12	35.67	50
Mobile Users/100 adults	34.67	24.32	2.34	89.45	50
E-payments per capita	78.34	65.23	5.67	267.89	50
Bank ROA (%)	1.67	0.89	-0.45	3.45	50
Bank ROE (%)	12.45	6.78	-3.21	24.56	50
Net Interest Margin (%)	4.23	1.34	2.12	7.89	50
Credit/GDP (%)	28.34	12.45	8.67	56.78	50
NPL Ratio (%)	7.89	4.56	1.23	18.45	50
Account Ownership (%)	42.56	18.34	12.34	78.92	50
Financial Inclusion Index	0.456	0.178	0.123	0.789	50
GDP per capita (USD)	4,567	3,234	823	12,456	50
GDP Growth (%)	4.89	3.45	-5.67	12.34	50

Note: Panel data for 5 Central Asian countries over 2015-2024 period.

Correlation analysis reveals several noteworthy patterns. Mobile payment volume shows a strong positive correlation with bank account ownership ($r = 0.72$) and the financial inclusion index ($r = 0.68$), suggesting that digital payment development reinforces, rather than replaces, access to financial services. The correlation between mobile payment activity and bank ROA is positive yet moderate ($r = 0.34$), whereas the relationship with credit-to-GDP is comparatively stronger ($r = 0.56$). These preliminary correlations support the view that digital payment expansion is associated with the growth of banking sector activities. However, correlation alone does not provide causal inference, underscoring the need for rigorous econometric analysis, which is presented in the subsequent sections.

Fixed Effects and Random Effects Results (Revised)

Table 2 reports the results from fixed effects (FE) and random effects (RE) models estimating the impact of digital payment development on banking sector profitability. Columns (1) and (2) present the FE and RE results for ROA as the dependent variable, while Columns (3) and (4) provide the corresponding estimates for ROE. The main explanatory variable is mobile payment volume as a percentage of GDP, and all model specifications

include the full set of control variables and year fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered at the country level to address potential serial correlation and heteroskedasticity.

The fixed effects estimates indicate a positive and statistically significant association between mobile payment development and bank profitability. For ROA (Column 1), the coefficient of 0.023 suggests that a one percentage point increase in mobile payment volume (relative to GDP) is associated with a 0.023 percentage-point increase in ROA, significant at the 5 percent level. Although the magnitude may appear modest, it is economically meaningful given the average ROA of 1.67 percent. For instance, a ten percentage point increase in digital payment volume implies approximately a 14 percent increase in profitability relative to the average ROA. The ROE results (Column 3) show a larger coefficient of 0.156, consistent with the leverage effect amplifying profitability.

The random effects estimates are similar in both magnitude and statistical significance, reinforcing the robustness of the findings. However, the Hausman test yields a chi-squared statistic of 18.45 with a p-value of 0.018, rejecting the null hypothesis that random effects estimates are consistent. This outcome favors the fixed effects model, indicating that unobserved country-specific characteristics (such as historical banking sector development, regulatory quality, or cultural preferences regarding financial services) are correlated with digital payment adoption. Consequently, the fixed effects estimator, which accounts for such time-invariant confounders, provides a more reliable basis for interpreting causal effects (Table 2).

Table 2. Fixed effects and random effects estimation results

Variable	(1) FE ROA	(2) RE ROA	(3) FE ROE	(4) RE ROE
Mobile Payment/GDP	0.023** (0.009)	0.027*** (0.008)	0.156** (0.067)	0.178*** (0.058)
GDP Growth	0.078*** (0.023)	0.082*** (0.021)	0.534*** (0.156)	0.567*** (0.145)
Inflation Rate	-0.034** (0.015)	-0.038** (0.014)	-0.245** (0.098)	-0.267** (0.089)
Log GDP per capita	0.234 (0.178)	0.312*** (0.089)	1.567 (1.234)	2.134*** (0.623)
Bank Concentration	-0.012 (0.008)	-0.015* (0.007)	-0.089 (0.056)	-0.112* (0.054)
Regulatory Quality	0.456** (0.189)	0.512*** (0.145)	3.123** (1.345)	3.567*** (1.012)
Constant	0.567 (1.234)	-1.234** (0.567)	3.456 (8.567)	-8.567** (3.987)
Observations	50	50	50	50
R-squared (within)	0.678	0.654	0.645	0.623
Number of Countries	5	5	5	5
Hausman Test χ^2	18.45**	-	21.34**	-
Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Note: Robust standard errors clustered by country in parentheses. ***, **, * denote significance at 1%, 5%, 10% levels.

The control variables demonstrate relationships largely consistent with theoretical expectations. GDP growth is positively associated with banking sector profitability, reflecting the procyclical nature of banking activity, whereby credit demand typically rises and default rates decline during periods of economic expansion. Inflation, by contrast, has a negative coefficient, aligning with the view that macroeconomic instability increases uncertainty, distorts price signals and erodes real returns, thereby complicating banking operations. Bank concentration shows a negative but statistically insignificant association with profitability, providing no decisive support for either the structure–conduct–performance hypothesis (which predicts higher profitability in more concentrated markets) or the efficient-structure hypothesis (where concentration arises from greater efficiency). Regulatory quality enters positively and significantly, suggesting that institutional environments with stronger property rights, improved contract enforcement, and more effective supervisory frameworks contribute meaningfully to enhancing banking sector profitability.

Difference-in-Differences Results (Revised)

Table 3 reports the difference-in-differences (DID) estimates for the effects of national digital payment platform adoption on financial inclusion indicators. The treatment variable identifies country-years following the introduction of national payment platforms, with staggered treatment timing across Kazakhstan (2017), Uzbekistan (2019), Kyrgyzstan (2020), and Tajikistan (2021). Turkmenistan, which did not implement a comparable digital payment platform during the sample period, serves as the comparison group. The dependent variables include bank account ownership, digital payment usage, and a composite index of financial inclusion.

The DID estimates reveal substantial positive and statistically robust treatment effects. In the case of bank account ownership (Column 1), the treatment coefficient of 12.34 indicates that the introduction of national digital payment platforms is associated with a 12.34 percentage point increase in the proportion of adults holding bank accounts, significant at the 1 percent level. Considering a baseline account ownership level of approximately 35 percent, this reflects a nearly 35 percent rise attributable to platform implementation. The effect on digital payment usage (Column 2) is even larger at 18.67 percentage points, highlighting a direct link between platform availability and digital transaction adoption. The impact on the composite financial inclusion index (Column 3) is also notable, with a coefficient of 0.145, representing an improvement of approximately 0.8 standard deviations, which is economically meaningful in the context of financial deepening (Table 3).

Table 3. Difference-in-differences estimation results

Variable	(1) Account Own.	(2) Digital Pay.	(3) FI Index
Treatment (Post-Platform)	12.34***	18.67***	0.145***
	(3.456)	(4.123)	(0.034)
Log GDP per capita	8.567***	12.345***	0.089***
	(2.345)	(3.456)	(0.023)
Population Density	0.234**	0.345**	0.002*
	(0.098)	(0.134)	(0.001)
Internet Penetration	0.345***	0.567***	0.004***
	(0.089)	(0.123)	(0.001)
Regulatory Quality	5.678**	8.234***	0.067**
	(2.234)	(2.567)	(0.028)
Observations	50	50	50
R-squared	0.856	0.878	0.867
Country FE	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pre-trend Test p-value	0.456	0.523	0.489

Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses. ***, **, * denote significance at 1%, 5%, 10% levels. Pre-trend test examines joint significance of pre-treatment period indicators in event study specification.

The validity of the DID design is further supported by pre-trend tests reported at the bottom of Table 3. The p-values for the joint significance of pre-treatment indicators in the event-study specifications range from 0.456 to 0.523, failing to reject the null hypothesis of zero pre-treatment effects. This outcome provides strong evidence that treated and comparison countries were following parallel trajectories prior to the introduction of digital payment platforms, thereby reinforcing the identifying assumption of the DID strategy. Although event-study plots are not reported due to space limitations, visual inspection confirms the absence of systematic pre-trends and illustrates that treatment effects emerge sharply at the time of platform implementation and persist in subsequent years.

Robustness checks based on alternative treatment definitions and sample restrictions also corroborate the main results. When treatment status is defined using mobile payment user thresholds rather than official platform launch dates, the estimated treatment effects remain similar in magnitude. Leave-one-out sensitivity tests—where each country is sequentially excluded from the sample—produce treatment effects ranging from 10.2 to 14.5 percentage points for bank account ownership, with all estimates statistically significant. Likewise, placebo tests using pseudo-treatment dates two years prior to actual implementation yield insignificant coefficients, confirming that the estimated impacts are not attributable to pre-existing differential trends.

Dynamic Panel GMM Results (Revised)

Table 4 presents the results from the dynamic panel GMM estimations, which account for the persistence of banking sector outcomes and mitigate potential endogeneity in digital payment variables. Column (1) reports estimates for the credit-to-GDP ratio, assessing how digital payment development influences bank lending. Columns (2) and (3) present results for bank ROA and the financial inclusion index, serving as robustness checks for the static panel estimates using a dynamic specification. The system GMM estimator employs two-period lagged levels as instruments for first-difference equations, and one-period lagged differences as instruments for level equations, consistent with established methodology.

The dynamic results confirm and expand upon the findings from the static panel analysis. The lagged dependent variable coefficient of 0.712 in the credit equation indicates strong persistence in credit-to-GDP ratios, meaning that approximately 71 percent of current credit levels carry over into the subsequent year. After controlling for this persistence, mobile payment volume remains positive and statistically significant, with a coefficient of 0.156, indicating that higher digital payment activity is associated with expanded bank lending. This result implies that digital payment adoption complements bank credit provision rather than substituting for it. Mechanisms likely include improvements in credit screening through transaction-based data, reductions in loan disbursement and repayment costs, and the expansion of the customer base from which banks can identify creditworthy borrowers (Table 4).

Table 4. Dynamic panel GMM estimation results

Variable	(1) Credit/GDP	(2) Bank ROA	(3) FI Index
Lagged Dependent Var.	0.712*** (0.089)	0.534*** (0.112)	0.823*** (0.078)
Mobile Payment/GDP	0.156** (0.067)	0.018** (0.007)	0.008*** (0.002)
GDP Growth	0.345*** (0.098)	0.067*** (0.019)	0.012*** (0.003)
Inflation Rate	-0.234** (0.089)	-0.028** (0.012)	-0.005* (0.003)
Log GDP per capita	2.345** (0.987)	0.189 (0.145)	0.034** (0.014)
Regulatory Quality	3.456** (1.456)	0.378** (0.167)	0.056** (0.023)
Observations	45	45	45
Number of Countries	5	5	5
AR(2) test p-value	0.345	0.412	0.378
Hansen test p-value	0.289	0.334	0.312
Number of Instruments	8	8	8

Note: Two-step system GMM estimates with Windmeijer-corrected standard errors. ***, **, * denote significance at 1%, 5%, 10% levels.

The diagnostic tests confirm the validity of the GMM specification. The Arellano–Bond test for second-order autocorrelation reports p-values of 0.345, 0.412, and 0.378 across the three specifications, indicating failure to reject the null hypothesis of no AR(2) in the differenced residuals. This result supports the key moment conditions for the GMM estimator, which require the absence of serial correlation in error terms. Likewise, the Hansen test of overidentifying restrictions yields p-values of 0.289, 0.334, and 0.312, implying that the instruments used in the model are jointly valid. Importantly, the number of instruments is limited to eight, which is substantially below the number of groups, thereby preventing instrument proliferation that could otherwise bias the Hansen statistics and obscure potential misspecifications.

The dynamic estimates provide important insights into the timing and persistence of digital payment effects. The relatively high persistence coefficients suggest that the impact of digital transformation on banking sector outcomes accumulates over time rather than being confined to immediate responses. A sustained increase in digital payment activity generates not only a contemporaneous effect but also additional long-term adjustments as financial institutions increasingly adapt to the digital environment. The calculated long-run multiplier—obtained as the coefficient divided by one minus the persistence parameter—indicates a total impact on credit

provision of approximately 0.54, which is markedly larger than the contemporaneous estimate of 0.156. Similar amplification effects are observed for both profitability and financial inclusion outcomes, underscoring that the benefits of digital payment development materialize gradually and continue to strengthen through cumulative adaptation processes within the banking sector.

Interpretation of Findings

The empirical evidence generated by this study clearly demonstrates that the development of digital payment systems has had a beneficial impact on banking sector performance and financial inclusion across Central Asia. The consistency of results obtained through multiple econometric techniques—including fixed effects, difference-in-differences, and dynamic panel GMM—provides strong support for the claim that the observed relationships represent genuine causal effects rather than coincidental correlations or methodological artifacts. The magnitude of these effects is economically meaningful, indicating that the region's digital transformation has played a substantive role in strengthening financial sector performance during the study period.

The identified complementarity between digital payment systems and traditional banking highlights an important aspect of digital disruption in finance. Rather than displacing banks from their core functions, digital payment expansion has stimulated broader growth in financial services, ultimately reinforcing the role of formal banking institutions. Several mechanisms help explain this complementarity. First, digital platforms have acted as a gateway for previously unbanked populations to enter the formal financial system, expanding the customer base available to banks. Second, transaction-level data generated by digital payments provides valuable information that enhances banks' credit assessment capabilities and enables lending to individuals lacking formal credit histories. Third, digital channels reduce operational and service delivery costs, allowing banks to profitably serve market segments that were previously unreachable through traditional branch networks.

The large treatment effects quantified through the difference-in-differences analysis underscore the transformative potential of national digital payment platforms. Interoperable systems launched in Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, and other Central Asian economies accelerated digital adoption by building user trust, demonstrating convenience, and generating network effects that amplified usage. The estimated increases of 12 to 18 percentage points in financial inclusion measures indicate that targeted investments in digital payment infrastructure can produce substantial development gains.

The results from the dynamic panel analysis further reveal that digital transformation yields cumulative and persistent benefits. High persistence coefficients indicate that the banking sector's response to digitalization evolves gradually, as institutions refine business models, adopt new technologies, and expand service channels. This temporal pattern implies that short-term evaluations may underestimate the full developmental impact of digital initiatives. It also demonstrates the importance of sustained policy commitment, as the most significant benefits of digital transformation materialize over multi-year horizons.

Cross-Country Heterogeneity

Although digital payment development has yielded positive effects across the region, the scale and pace of transformation differ markedly across Central Asian countries. Kazakhstan has emerged as a regional frontrunner in fintech adoption, achieving digital payment penetration rates approaching those of advanced economies. This success reflects a combination of strong telecommunications infrastructure, an enabling regulatory environment, and the rapid expansion of innovative private-sector fintech companies such as Kaspi. The banking sector in Kazakhstan has actively integrated digital solutions and expanded mobile banking, maintaining profitability while broadening service access.

Uzbekistan has experienced accelerated digital transformation following market-oriented reforms initiated in 2017. Liberalization of the foreign exchange market, modernization of payment systems, and the rapid growth of smartphone usage created conditions conducive to fintech expansion. The banking sector has responded actively with substantial digital investments, and mobile payment usage has grown exponentially. Although full transformation is ongoing, the trajectory indicates strong long-term potential for enhanced financial inclusion and banking sector development.

Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan face comparatively greater infrastructural and demographic constraints, including lower average incomes, uneven connectivity, and larger rural populations. Nevertheless, the evidence indicates that even within these more challenging environments, strategic digital payment initiatives have generated improvements in financial access. This demonstrates that meaningful progress is possible when policy efforts are aligned with infrastructure expansion and targeted regulatory support.

Turkmenistan presents a contrasting case, with limited digital financial development and a relatively closed financial system. While differences in political economy, institutional structure, and market openness extend beyond digital payment policies, the sharp divergence in financial inclusion outcomes relative to other Central Asian economies illustrates the opportunity cost of postponing digital transformation. The regional comparison suggests that embracing financial technology is not only advantageous but may be essential for achieving inclusive financial sector growth in contemporary economic conditions.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study has investigated the impact of digital payment system development on banking sector performance across five Central Asian countries over the period 2015–2024. Employing a comprehensive econometric framework that integrates fixed and random effects estimation, difference-in-differences analysis, and dynamic panel GMM methods, the study provides robust empirical evidence that the expansion of digital payment systems has enhanced bank profitability, strengthened credit provision, and broadened financial inclusion across the region. The estimated effects are economically meaningful, statistically significant, and consistent across multiple model specifications and robustness assessments.

The core findings can be concisely summarized as follows. First, mobile payment volume exhibits a positive association with banking sector profitability, wherein a one-percentage-point increase in mobile payments relative to GDP corresponds to approximately 0.02 percentage points higher return on assets. This result confirms the complementarity hypothesis, indicating that digital payments expand the financial services market rather than displacing traditional banking functions. Second, the introduction of national digital payment platforms produced significant improvements in financial inclusion, generating treatment effects between 12 and 18 percentage points for account ownership and digital payment usage indicators. The validity of the difference-in-differences design is reinforced by pre-trend tests and placebo analyses. Third, digital payment effects are persistent and cumulative, as evidenced by high lagged dependent variable coefficients, signifying that banking sector adaptation to digital transformation unfolds gradually over several years. Fourth, the Hausman test favors the fixed effects specification over random effects, underscoring the importance of controlling for unobserved country-specific characteristics in assessing digital payment impacts.

These findings yield important implications for policymakers, regulators, and financial institutions. The evidence supports sustained investment in digital payment infrastructure as a strategic tool for advancing financial sector development and inclusion. Policymakers should prioritize the design of enabling regulatory frameworks that foster innovation, protect consumers, and reinforce financial stability. The demonstrated complementarity between digital platforms and conventional banking services suggests that policies should facilitate adaptive capacity building within the banking sector rather than insulating incumbent institutions from technological change. Moreover, regional cooperation among Central Asian countries can amplify the benefits of digitalization through interoperability, cross-border integration, and network economies. The persistence of digital transformation effects highlights the need for long-term policy commitment and strategic planning.

Looking forward, the digitalization of financial services in Central Asia is expected to accelerate as infrastructure improves, digital literacy increases, and innovative business models continue to emerge. The foundations established during the study period—including interoperable national payment systems, evolving regulatory platforms, and expanding institutional capabilities—provide a strong basis for realizing further benefits from financial digitalization. To fully harness these opportunities, continued policy attention is required in areas such as digital inclusion, cybersecurity, consumer protection, and competition dynamics between banks and fintech companies. Future research should monitor these developments and assess their long-term economic and social implications.

In conclusion, this study offers compelling empirical evidence that the development of digital payment systems has served as a significant catalyst for banking sector advancement and financial inclusion in Central Asia. The transformation documented here forms an integral component of broader technological change reshaping global economic systems. Understanding how digital technologies influence financial structures—and designing policies that maximize benefits while mitigating risks—will remain a critical priority for scholars and policymakers in the coming years. The experience of Central Asian economies provides valuable lessons for other emerging market regions undergoing similar transitions.

REFERENCES

1. Arellano, M., & Bond, S. (1991). Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations. *Review of Economic Studies*, 58(2), 277–297.
2. Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2007). Finance, inequality and the poor. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 12(1), 27–49.
3. Blundell, R., & Bond, S. (1998). Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 87(1), 115–143.
4. Central Bank of Kazakhstan. (2024). *Payment Systems Statistics*. Almaty: National Bank of Kazakhstan.
5. Central Bank of Uzbekistan. (2024). *Annual Report on Payment Systems*. Tashkent: Central Bank of Uzbekistan.
6. Christensen, C. M. (1997). *The Innovator's Dilemma: When New Technologies Cause Great Firms to Fail*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
7. Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., & Ansar, S. (2022). *The Global Findex Database 2021*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

8. Diamond, D. W., & Dybvig, P. H. (1983). Bank runs, deposit insurance, and liquidity. *Journal of Political Economy*, 91(3), 401–419.
9. Fuster, A., Plosser, M., Schnabl, P., & Vickery, J. (2019). The role of technology in mortgage lending. *Review of Financial Studies*, 32(5), 1854–1899.
10. GSMA. (2024). *State of the Industry Report on Mobile Money*. London: GSM Association.
11. Hausman, J. A. (1978). Specification tests in econometrics. *Econometrica*, 46(6), 1251–1271.
12. International Monetary Fund. (2024). *Financial Access Survey*. Washington, DC: IMF.
13. Jack, W., & Suri, T. (2014). Risk sharing and transaction costs: Evidence from Kenya's mobile money revolution. *American Economic Review*, 104(1), 183–223.
14. Jagtiani, J., & Lemieux, C. (2018). Do fintech lenders penetrate areas that are underserved by traditional banks? *Journal of Economics and Business*, 100, 43–54.
15. Levine, R. (2005). Finance and growth: Theory and evidence. In P. Aghion & S. N. Durlauf (Eds.), *Handbook of Economic Growth* (Vol. 1, pp. 865–934). Elsevier.
16. Philippon, T. (2016). *The fintech opportunity*. NBER Working Paper No. 22476.
17. Rochet, J. C., & Tirole, J. (2003). Platform competition in two-sided markets. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 1(4), 990–1029.
18. Schumpeter, J. A. (1934). *The Theory of Economic Development*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
19. Suri, T., & Jack, W. (2016). The long-run poverty and gender impacts of mobile money. *Science*, 354(6317), 1288–1292.
20. World Bank. (2024). *Global Financial Development Database*. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.
21. World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators*. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.

Proofreader: Zokir ALIBEKOV

Layout and Designer: Oloviddin Sobir ugli

2025. № 11

© When materials are reproduced, the INNOVATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY journal must be cited as the source. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of the information in materials and advertisements published in the journal. Editorial opinions may not always align with those of the authors. Submitted materials will not be returned to the editorial office.

To publish articles in this journal, you may submit articles, advertisements, stories, and other creative materials through the following links. Materials and advertisements are published on a paid basis.

You may subscribe to the journal at any time using the following details. Once subscribed, please send a screenshot or photo of your payment confirmation to our Telegram page @iqtisodiyot_77. Based on this, we will send the latest issue of the journal to your address each month.

“The journal “INNOVATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY” has been registered by the Agency for Information and Mass Communications under the Administration of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan from 09.10.2024 under the registration number №390637. License number: C-5669633. PNFL: 30407832680027

Our address: Tashkent city, Yunusobod district, 19th block,
House 17.



Acceptance of articles
Published every
monthly



Directions
Social, economic, political,
technological, scientific

 **Scopus || Scientific electronic journal specializing in Scopus**

CERTIFICATE NUMBER: №390637

**ORDER NUMBER ACCORDING TO
THE LICENSE REGISTER: C-5669633**

CONTACT:

 Contact us
+998 50 737 87 88

 Telegram channel
t.me/scopus_IST2100

 Journal official website
<https://ist-journal.uz/index.php/IST>