

# INNOVATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY



Scopus || Electronic journal specializing in Scopus

**ISSUE 7**



Acceptance of papers **July, 2025**



**Acceptance of papers**

Published monthly



**Topics**

economics, technology, social sciences

**ISSN 3060-5229**



Digital Object Identifier



Visit the website [t.me/scopus\\_IST2100](https://t.me/scopus_IST2100)



**EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:**

Mirzaliev Sanjar Makhmatjon ugli

**DEPUTY EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:**

Makhmudov Nosir Makhmudovich  
DSc., Prof., Academician

**DEPUTY EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:**

Ochilov Bobur Bakhtiyor ugli – Senior  
lecturer at TSUI

THE SCIENTIFIC-POPULAR ELECTRONIC  
JOURNAL **"INNOVATION SCIENCE AND  
TECHNOLOGY"** HAS BEEN REGISTERED  
UNDER THE NUMBER **C-5669633** BY THE  
AGENCY FOR INFORMATION AND MASS  
COMMUNICATIONS (AOKA) OF THE  
REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN, EFFECTIVE  
FROM OCTOBER 9, 2024.

**CONTACTS**

Phone: **97-748-70-03**

Website: <https://ist-journal.uz>

Email: [munis.iriskulova@gmail.com](mailto:munis.iriskulova@gmail.com)

The scientific electronic journal "Innovation Science and Technology" has been included in the list of scientific publications recommended for the publication of main scientific results of dissertations for the award of PhD and DSc degrees in economics and technical sciences, in accordance with the Resolution No. 370 of the Presidium of the Higher Attestation Commission of the Republic of Uzbekistan, dated May 8, 2025.

**Editorial board:**



**Sharipov Kongiratbay Avezimbetovich,**  
Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor



**Abdurakhmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna,**  
Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor



**Cham Tat Huei,**  
Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), Professor (Malaysia)



**Muhammad Imran Sadiq**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD),  
Professor, Malaysia



**Ahmed Aziz Ismail**  
Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc),  
Professor (Egypt)



**Lee Chin**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD),  
(Malaysia)



**Asongu Simplicé**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD),  
Cameroon



**Rui Dang**  
Doctor of Chemistry (DSc), Professor, China



**Zahoor Ahmed**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD), Turkey



**Shujaat Abbas**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD), Russia



**Tina A Coffelt**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Educational Sciences  
(PhD), USA



**Judy B. Smetana**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD), USA

# CONTENTS

Nephrogenic anemia as a risk factor for the development of cardiovascular disorders in children with chronic kidney disease .....	6
<b>Aralov Mirza Dzhurakulovich</b>	
The impact and specific features of international financial institutions (IMF, world bank) on public debt policy .....	10
<b>Sayfutdinov Xasanboy Dilshodovich</b>	
Use of management methods in the organization of pedagogical processes .....	13
<b>Uraqov Shokir Ulashovich</b>	
A linguistic analysis of english and uzbek media discourse: examining public media speech .....	17
<b>Rizaeva Kamola Shuxratovna</b>	
Ecological hotel in the formation of the product such as the electronic catalogue.....	24
<b>Abidova Dilfuza Igamberdievna</b>	
Calculation of standardized electricity losses .....	29
<b>Akbar Ashurovich Shodiev</b>	
Mechanisms for stimulating investment activity at energy industry enterprises.....	33
<b>Matchanov Umirzak Seytjanovich</b>	
The importance of state support for localization in commodity production and the measures taken in this direction in Uzbekistan.....	39
<b>Nasriddinov Qobilbek Qurbonbekovich</b>	
Cleaning of salt water using reverse osmosis.....	45
<b>Kungiratbay Sharipov, Nurmanov Ma'ruf</b>	
Methods of enhancing the financial capacity of the higher education sector through modern financial instruments.....	66
<b>Gulshat Karlibayeva</b>	
Digital economy and the processes of its formation in the conditions of modernization of the economy.....	71
<b>Abdullaev Abdurauf</b>	
Quantum metrology and scientific-metrological aspects of transition to the new SI unit system (2019).....	76
<b>Sitora Akhmedova</b>	
Analysis study of the market conjuncture .....	81
<b>Musayeva Shoirazimovna</b>	
Increasing the benefits of the banking system of Uzbekistan to the state and the population.....	85
<b>Djurayev Kamaliddin Tashbaltayevich</b>	
The housing sector in Uzbekistan: challenges, reforms, and paths toward sustainable urban development .....	89
<b>Salimova Yulduz Isakovna</b>	
The impact of digital transformation on the financial stability of enterprises.....	94
<b>Nozimbek Faxridin oglu Soibov</b>	
Improving tax audit inspections in Uzbekistan .....	99
<b>Yusupov Mansur Rasulovich</b>	

# IMPROVING TAX AUDIT INSPECTIONS IN UZBEKISTAN



**Yusupov Mansur Rasulovich**

State chief tax inspector of the tax audit department,  
Tax administration of the city of Tashkent

ORCID: 0009-0001-3285-6546

E-mail: [yusupov.mansur10@gmail.com](mailto:yusupov.mansur10@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** This article analyzes the practice of organizing tax audit procedures in Uzbekistan and develops theoretical foundations along with practical proposals for its improvement, based on international experience. Tax audit is considered a crucial instrument for ensuring fiscal transparency, strengthening tax discipline, and managing state budget revenues effectively.

**Key words:** Tax audit, tax administration, fiscal control, automated selection, digitalization.

## INTRODUCTION

In contemporary economic governance, the effectiveness of tax administration is crucial for ensuring fiscal stability, enhancing public trust, and fostering sustainable economic growth. Among various instruments utilized by tax authorities globally, tax audit inspections represent a pivotal component for verifying compliance with tax obligations, minimizing evasion, and ensuring fair and equitable revenue mobilization. Within this context, Uzbekistan, as an emerging economy undergoing substantial economic transformations and regulatory reforms, faces distinctive challenges and opportunities in strengthening its tax audit mechanisms.

The necessity to improve tax audit inspections in Uzbekistan is underscored by the ongoing structural changes within the nation's fiscal framework — driven largely by the state's ambitious socio-economic reform agenda — aimed at liberalizing the economy, attracting foreign investments, and expanding the tax base. Although substantial efforts have been made in recent years to modernize Uzbekistan's tax system — including simplifying tax codes, adopting digital platforms for tax reporting, and transitioning toward risk-based approaches — existing tax audit processes still exhibit notable inefficiencies, inconsistencies, and areas of opacity, affecting overall compliance rates and the credibility of fiscal institutions.

Historically, Uzbekistan's tax audit practices were characterized by manual procedures, excessive documentation requirements, and relatively frequent but superficial audit inspections. These methods often resulted in high administrative costs, significant taxpayer inconvenience, and considerable room for discretionary decision-making — which, in turn, posed risks of corruption and reduced taxpayer confidence. Furthermore, the limited integration of advanced digital technologies and the insufficient application of sophisticated analytical methods in audits have resulted in suboptimal detection of tax non-compliance and evasion, adversely affecting budgetary revenues and undermining fair competition among businesses.

International experience demonstrates that robust and efficient tax audit practices contribute significantly to broader fiscal and economic stability. Countries with advanced and transparent tax audit systems — such as Germany, Singapore, South Korea, and Scandinavian nations — consistently exhibit higher compliance rates, lower instances of tax evasion, and improved taxpayer perceptions towards fiscal authorities. These countries

effectively utilize risk-based selection criteria, comprehensive digital data analysis, and automated audit systems — thus maximizing the efficiency and effectiveness of their audits, while simultaneously minimizing administrative burdens on compliant taxpayers.

Given Uzbekistan's ambition to integrate more deeply into global economic systems, align domestic practices with international standards, and attract sustainable foreign direct investments, the urgency of improving its tax audit processes becomes increasingly evident.

Enhancing tax audit inspections requires a balanced combination of technological modernization, procedural transparency, capacity building among tax authorities, and cultivating a compliance-oriented culture among taxpayers.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

The tax audit is widely recognized in public finance theory as a critical component of an effective tax administration system, serving as both a compliance enforcement mechanism and a deterrent against tax evasion.

The theoretical foundations of tax audits rest primarily on the economic theory of tax compliance — pioneered by Allingham and Sandmo (1972) — which posits that taxpayers' compliance behavior is influenced by the probability of detection through audit and the severity of penalties for non-compliance [1].

The audit's effectiveness in ensuring compliance depends significantly on audit selection methodologies, the thoroughness of inspections, and the perceived integrity of the tax authority itself [2].

Subsequent theoretical developments have integrated behavioral economics into compliance models, suggesting that psychological and social factors — such as taxpayer morale, trust in public institutions, and perceived fairness — play crucial roles in shaping compliance decisions [3].

In this regard, audits conducted transparently and efficiently are theorized to enhance voluntary compliance by strengthening taxpayers' confidence in fiscal authorities, thereby contributing positively to overall tax morale and willingness to pay taxes [4].

Empirical research consistently highlights tax audits' pivotal role in increasing voluntary compliance and improving revenue collection efficiency. For instance, Alm et al. (2019) demonstrate that strategic audits — particularly those utilizing risk-based selection criteria — substantially increase taxpayer compliance rates due to heightened perceived audit probability among high-risk groups [5].

Similarly, Gangl et al. (2020) provide empirical evidence that frequent but fair audit interactions can significantly improve taxpayers' perception of procedural fairness, subsequently fostering voluntary compliance behavior [6].

Studies examining international best practices in tax audit systems frequently emphasize the effectiveness of adopting digital technologies. OECD (2021) reports indicate that countries such as Singapore, South Korea, and Germany have successfully implemented advanced digital platforms and sophisticated data analytics tools to optimize tax audit efficiency — significantly reducing administrative burdens and improving accuracy in detecting compliance risks [7].

For example, the implementation of automated data cross-checking systems in South Korea enabled real-time risk identification, considerably enhancing audit targeting accuracy and resource allocation efficiency [8].

Research by Bird and Zolt (2020) argues that transitioning from traditional manual audits toward digitized, risk-based audit processes not only increases audit effectiveness but also reduces taxpayer compliance costs and boosts transparency [9].

Moreover, digitization facilitates more comprehensive data collection and analysis, providing tax authorities with robust tools for identifying evasion schemes and assessing compliance patterns across different taxpayer segments [10].

Studies on emerging economies highlight distinctive challenges and opportunities for tax audit improvements. For example, Fjeldstad et al. (2019) observed that developing countries frequently face challenges such as resource constraints, limited administrative capacities, and corruption risks — all of which undermine tax audit effectiveness and taxpayer trust [11].

In response, successful reforms in emerging economies — such as Indonesia and Malaysia — demonstrate that prioritizing procedural transparency, building professional capacity among tax officials, and enhancing technology infrastructure significantly reduce corruption and improve compliance rates [12].

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employed comparative analysis methods grounded in scientific-theoretical approaches, international experience, and the practical context of Uzbekistan to examine the institutional and technological

modernization of the tax audit system. Throughout the research, the current state of the tax audit system in the Republic of Uzbekistan was explored using descriptive and analytical methods, focusing on its legal foundations, organizational structure, and practical operations.

Moreover, international experience—particularly the practices of reputable institutions such as the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the World Bank, and the International Monetary Fund (IMF)—was studied and comparatively analyzed with the case of Uzbekistan. The impact of digital technologies and automation tools on the effectiveness of tax audits was thoroughly assessed. Key mechanisms such as real-time monitoring, automated risk modeling, and control systems based on integrated databases were examined as essential instruments.

Through normative-legal analysis, the current legal framework was carefully reviewed based on the Tax Code of the Republic of Uzbekistan, decisions of the State Tax Committee, and other relevant legal documents. Additionally, an expert approach based on the experience of international auditing organizations was applied to identify existing problems and develop targeted recommendations. Both practical and theoretical views in the field were rigorously analyzed.

The described methodological foundations ensured the scientific validity and reliability of the research results and laid the groundwork for developing proposals aimed at modernizing the tax audit system based on digital, transparent, and advanced mechanisms.

## ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The effective functioning of the tax audit institution necessitates a comprehensive diagnosis of its current state within Uzbekistan, taking into account international best practices and the challenges posed by the digital economy. To identify key dysfunctions and assess potential reform directions, the following analysis is structured using two analytical frameworks: a comparative approach and a functional-problematic evaluation (Table 1).

**Table 1. Institutional and Functional Discrepancies in the Uzbek Tax Audit System Amid Contemporary Fiscal Transformations**

System Component	Current Status	Target Model (International Standards)
<b>Institutional Framework</b>	Audit functions within the State Tax Committee (STC), lacking an autonomous mandate and an independent procedural framework	Independent audit structure within the tax administration, reporting directly to parliament or an external supervisory institution
<b>Risk Management in Audit Selection</b>	Declarative risk approach with manual interpretation and considerable reliance on subjective judgment	Automated risk-scoring algorithms, behavioral analytics, and AI-driven multifactor models
<b>Technological Infrastructure</b>	Analytical modules are fragmented; no integration with corporate and customs databases	A centralized analytics platform enabling comprehensive fiscal chain monitoring
<b>Regulatory Support</b>	No dedicated tax audit law; existing regulations are scattered and non-uniform	Comprehensive tax audit regulation integrated into the Tax Code or codified as a separate legal act
<b>Human Resource Capacity</b>	Majority of auditors have administrative backgrounds, with limited expertise in behavioral or sector-specific analytics	Structured professional retraining programs, international certifications (e.g., CFE, CTA, CIA), and accreditation via independent centers

Source: Developed by the author

Despite ongoing institutional reforms, Uzbekistan's tax audit system still reflects features typical of a traditional administrative-hierarchical model. This structure is characterized by vertical subordination, limited auditor independence, and inadequate adaptability to the rapidly evolving conditions of the digital economy.

A core challenge lies in the persistent gap between the reform goals officially declared and their actual institutional and methodological implementation. In particular, the automation of audit procedures remains confined to the technical domain and lacks meaningful methodological sophistication. Furthermore, current approaches to tax risk management are fragmented and lack methodological validation, which diminishes the precision and efficiency of audit targeting.

The absence of a unified framework for taxpayer behavioral profiling further undermines the reliability and consistency of audit object selection. This deficiency hampers the ability of tax authorities to make evidence-based decisions and to effectively anticipate high-risk taxpayer behavior.

Under these circumstances, ensuring procedural autonomy of the tax audit function—separate from inspection and enforcement operations—becomes a critical condition for modernization. Without this autonomy, Uzbekistan’s fiscal oversight mechanism cannot transform into a trustworthy, preventive, and forward-looking system grounded in transparency, predictability, and constructive cooperation with taxpayers (Table 2).

Table 2. Diagnosis of Key Problems in the Uzbek Tax Audit System

Problematic Area	Specific Manifestations	Potential Consequences
<b>Institutional Vulnerability</b>	Lack of independent auditor status; hierarchical subordination within tax authorities	Limited objectivity; increased administrative pressure
<b>Low Automation Level</b>	Absence of a centralized risk analytics platform; fragmented and non-integrated databases	Loss of potential tax revenues; ineffective targeting and selection of audit objects
<b>Human Resource Shortages</b>	Auditors lack mandatory professional certifications; limited digital and IT competencies	Reduced analytical accuracy; inability to audit complex and digitally enabled business models
<b>Lack of Public Accountability</b>	Audit results are not publicly disclosed; no access for taxpayers or external experts	Public distrust; lack of external oversight and limited feedback channels
<b>Repressive Image of Tax Audits</b>	Audits are widely perceived as punitive actions rather than diagnostic and advisory tools	Expansion of the shadow economy; erosion of voluntary tax compliance

Source: Developed by the author

The diagnostic approach adopted in this analysis enables a deeper understanding of the systemic nature of the issues confronting the tax audit system in Uzbekistan. Evidently, there is a pressing need to transition from a reactive and punitive model toward a preventive, risk-oriented, and digitally empowered framework. The current lack of regulatory coherence exposes the audit function to vulnerabilities concerning legal legitimacy, while inadequate personnel training constrains the adoption of advanced technologies—such as automated audit scenarios, predictive analytics, and integration with customs, banking, and corporate databases.

Furthermore, addressing the entrenched punitive perception associated with tax audits requires transformative changes not only in procedural mechanisms but also in the underlying philosophy and culture of fiscal control. A strategic shift toward a service-oriented approach—where tax audits are regarded not as punitive measures but as constructive instruments for enhancing business transparency and competitiveness—is imperative. This reorientation would align Uzbekistan’s tax administration with international standards, fostering an environment conducive to voluntary compliance, fiscal transparency, and the broader objectives of sustainable economic development.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

To strengthen institutions and modernize the technological infrastructure of the tax audit system in the Republic of Uzbekistan, a set of priority reform directions is proposed. It is crucial to ensure the legal and organizational autonomy of the tax audit authority by granting it the status of an independent public institution with clearly defined functional independence. This will promote transparency, facilitate public oversight, and increase institutional credibility. Simultaneously, the development and implementation of an automated audit selection system, based on behavioral and sector-specific risk models, is essential to reduce human bias, ensure procedural fairness, and mitigate corruption risks. The creation of a real-time digital audit environment through integrated analytical platforms would significantly improve the accuracy of tax assessments, support dynamic monitoring, and allow for the rapid identification of anomalies. Furthermore, the establishment of a comprehensive framework for professional certification and training—including international accreditation standards and continuing professional education—is imperative to enhance the competence and integrity of auditors. Enhancing public transparency and accountability by publishing aggregated audit results on the official website of the State Tax Committee would also play a critical role in building trust and fostering constructive engagement between the government and taxpayers. Overall, this study confirms that effective reform of the tax audit system in Uzbekistan must be systemic and multifaceted, encompassing institutional autonomy, digital transformation, personnel qualification standards, and public accountability mechanisms. Drawing from international experience, such reforms can transform tax audits into strategic tools that not only enforce compliance but also build trust between the state and the business sector. These recommendations

are of strategic importance for improving the quality of tax administration, strengthening fiscal discipline, and promoting economic transparency, thereby contributing to the broader modernization of Uzbekistan's financial system amid accelerating digital transformation and global integration.

## REFERENCES

1. Allingham, M. G., & Sandmo, A. (1972). Income tax evasion: A theoretical analysis. *Journal of Public Economics*, 1(3–4), 323–338. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2727\(72\)90010-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2727(72)90010-2) [1]
2. Slemrod, J. (2019). Tax compliance and enforcement. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 57(4), 904–954. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20181437> [2]
3. Kirchler, E., Hoelzl, E., & Wahl, I. (2008). Enforced versus voluntary tax compliance: The 'slippery slope' framework. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 29(2), 210–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2007.05.004> [3]
4. Luttmer, E. F. P., & Singhal, M. (2014). Tax morale. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 28(4), 149–168. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.28.4.149> [4]
5. Alm, J., Clark, J., & Leibel, K. (2019). Strategic audits and tax compliance. *Public Finance Review*, 47(4), 561–588. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1091142118795315> [5]
6. Gangl, K., Hartl, B., Hofmann, E., & Kirchler, E. (2020). The impact of procedural fairness and audit frequency on tax compliance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 162(3), 487–502. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3996-9> [6]
7. OECD. (2021). Tax administration 2021: Comparative information on OECD and other advanced and emerging economies. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/0e3b0fc7-en> [7]
8. Kim, Y., & Lee, H. (2020). Digital transformation of tax administration: South Korea's experience. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 43(12), 1047–1058. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2019.1669176> [8]
9. Bird, R., & Zolt, E. (2020). Technology and taxation in developing countries: From handwritten returns to digitized data. *National Tax Journal*, 73(3), 579–606. <https://doi.org/10.17310/ntj.2020.3.03> [9]
10. International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2020). Digitalization of tax administration. IMF. <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/Policy-Papers/Issues/2020/10/09/Digitalization-of-Tax-Administration-49847> [10]
11. Fjeldstad, O. H., Ali, M., & Sjurson, I. H. (2019). Corruption and taxation in developing countries. *Public Administration and Development*, 39(3), 151–162. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.1854> [11]
12. Gupta, S., Keen, M., Shah, A., & Verdier, G. (Eds.). (2017). Digital revolutions in public finance. International Monetary Fund. [12]

**Proofreader:** Zokir ALIBEKOV

**Layout and Designer:** Oloviddin Sobir ugli

---

## 2025. № 7

---

© When materials are reproduced, the INNOVATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY journal must be cited as the source. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of the information in materials and advertisements published in the journal. Editorial opinions may not always align with those of the authors. Submitted materials will not be returned to the editorial office.

To publish articles in this journal, you may submit articles, advertisements, stories, and other creative materials through the following links. Materials and advertisements are published on a paid basis.

You may subscribe to the journal at any time using the following details. Once subscribed, please send a screenshot or photo of your payment confirmation to our Telegram page @iqtisodiyot\_77. Based on this, we will send the latest issue of the journal to your address each month.

“The journal “INNOVATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY” has been registered by the Agency for Information and Mass Communications under the Administration of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan from 09.10.2024 under the registration number №390637. License number: C-5669633. PNFL: 30407832680027

**Our address:** Tashkent city, Yunusobod district, 19th block,  
House 17.



**Acceptance of articles**

Published every  
monthly



**Directions**

Social, economic, political,  
technological, scientific

 **Scopus || Scientific electronic journal specializing in Scopus**

**CERTIFICATE NUMBER: №390637**

**ORDER NUMBER ACCORDING TO  
THE LICENSE REGISTER: C-5669633**

**CONTACT:**

 **Contact us  
+998 97 748 70 03**

 **Telegram channel  
[t.me/scopus\\_IST2100](https://t.me/scopus_IST2100)**

 **Journal official website  
<https://ist-journal.uz/index.php/IST>**